PATRICK K. FAULKNER, COUNTY COUNSEL 1 Stephen Raab, SBN 180939 2 3501 Civic Center Drive, Room 275 San Rafael, CA 94903 Tel.: (415) 499-6117, Fax: (415) 499-3796 3 4 Attorney(s) for the County of Marin 5 6 David M. Poore, SBN 192541 KAHN BROWN & POORE LLP 755 Baywood Drive, Suite 185 Petaluma, California 94954 8 (707) 763-7100 Telephone: (707) 763-7180 Facsimile: 9 dpoore@kahnbrownlaw.com 10 Attorneys for Plaintiff KARL FINLEY 11 12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 13 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 14 15 Case No.: C07-05922 TEH KARL FINLEY, 16 STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER Plaintiff, TO FILE AND SERVE FIRST AMENDED 17 COMPLAINT ٧. 18 19 COUNTY OF MARIN; MARSHA GRANT; DIANE STOKER; and DOES 1 through 50, 20 inclusive. 21 Defendants. 22 23 24 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties to allow plaintiff Karl Finley to file 25 and serve a First Amended Complaint, which is attached hereto as Exhibit A. 26 There is good cause for the stipulation to file an amended complaint as follows: 27 Dismissal of Individual Defendants as to FEHA Cause of Action for Retaliation: The parties 28 agree that the individual defendants, Marsha Grant and Diane Stoker, should be dismissed from

STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER TO FILE FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

FINLEY v. COUNTY OF MARIN ET AL, CASE NO. C07-05922 TEH

9

7

28

the Third Cause of Action for FEHA Retaliation under the recent California Supreme Court decision in *Jones v. The Lodge at Torrey Pines Partnership*, 42 Cal.4th 1158 (2008) [Individual supervisors not personally liable under FEHA retaliation cause of action];

- Election not to Proceed as Class Action: Plaintiff Finley has elected not to pursue this matter as
 a Class Action, and, thus, the filing of the amended complaint to delete the individual
 defendants from the FEHA cause of action is appropriate;
- <u>Judicial Economy:</u> The parties are not making this request for any improper purpose, including undue delay. Instead, the parties agree that judicial economy will be served if this request is granted. In particular, in light of the *The Lodge at Torrey Pines Partnership* decision, the parties agree that motion practice is unnecessary to dismiss these defendants from this claim, including preparing and filing a motion for leave to amend the complaint. Once a stipulation is granted, the parties will not have to burden the Court's time, or the parties' attorney's fees and costs, in filing at motions to address this issue.

SO STIPULATED.

ated: $\frac{4/2}{0}$

KAHN, BROWN & POORE LLP

BY:____

DAVID POORE Attorney for Plaintiff

Dated: April 11, 2008

PATRICK K. FAULKNER MARIN COUNTY COUNSEL

BY:<u>54</u>

STEPHEN RAAB

Attorney for Defendant County of Marin

.8

PROPOSED ORDER

THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS AS FOLLOWS:

The parties' stipulation and proposed order to file a First Ar	mended Complaint is approved and Granted			
The plaintiff may file the proposed First Amended Complaint within ten (10) days after service of this				
Order.				

DATED:	•	

HON. THELTON E. HENDERSON U.S. DISTRICT COURT JUDGE